Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/008,864	BATES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric B. Kiss	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Eric B. Kiss</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roy W. Truelson (Reg. No. 34,265).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10,11,25-32 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Eric B. Kiss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/008,864

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner propsed cancellation of claims 10 and 11 as reciting an improper shift from a statutory process to non-statutory functional descriptive material, per se. Mr. Truelson authorized an Examiner's Amendment, and additionally requested that the examiner cancel claims 25-32.